

## MERSD Annual District Data Review Fall, 2023

## MERSD Vision

MERSD, with the partnership and support of member communities, will provide educational opportunities and resources so that all students can realize their individual, unique, and highest potential, achieve academic excellence, value integrity and honesty, and become intellectually curious and critical thinkers.

## District:

## Strengths

- School Climate \& Safety: Vocal Data
- District Data Systems
- High Performing Areas \& Programs
- Advanced Placement Participation \& Results


## Areas for Growth

- Effectiveness of Tier 1

Curriculum \& Instruction:
Consistency \& Coherence

- Opportunity Gap
- Attendance




## MERSD Student Population

Student Race \& Ethnicity


Selected Populations


## MERSD Student Population

MERSD High Needs Population


High Needs: Students are high needs if they are designated as either low income, El/former El, or a student with disabilities.

## Attendance


42.9\% of students absent 10 or more days.
(Down from 56\% during 2021-22 school year)

$13.1 \%$ of students chronically absent: 10\% or more days.
(Down from 16.2\% during 2021-22 school year)

## 29 students

 (2.4\%) chronically absent with 20\% or more school days(down from $3 \%$ in 2021-22 school year)

## Views of Climate and Learning (VOCAL) Data Overview



Engagement


Safety


Environment

52

56

| School Climate description | Index scaled score range | An index scaled score is a composite measure that summarizes student responses across several content-related items. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Least favorable climate | $\leq 30$ points |  |
| Somewhat favorable climate | 31 to $\leq 50$ points |  |
| Favorable climate | 51 to $\leq 70$ points |  |
| Most favorable climate | $>70$ points |  |

## Items:

$\rightarrow$ Item-level response data shown as a percentage of student responses "Always True" or "Mostly True"

## School Climate © Safety: Vocal Data MERHS

School Climate


Engagement


52

Safety



## Grade 10:

$\rightarrow \quad 98 \%$ : I feel welcome to participate in extracurricular activities offered through our school, such as, school clubs or organizations, musical groups, sports teams, or student council.
$\rightarrow \quad 94 \%$ : Adults working at this school treat all students respectfully, regardless of a student's race, culture, family income, religion, sex, or sexual orientation.
$\rightarrow$ 99\%: My teachers promote respect among students.
$\rightarrow$ 98\%: Teachers are available when I need to talk with them.
$\rightarrow \quad 93 \%$ : If I tell a teacher or other adult that someone is being bullied, the teacher/adult will do something to help.

## School Climate © Safety: Vocal Data MERMS

School Climate

State gr. 8

MERMS gr. 8
49

Engagement


Safety


Environment

## Grade 8:

$\rightarrow$ 96\%: My teachers believe that all students can do well in their learning.
$\rightarrow$ 92\%: My teachers are proud of me when I work hard in school.
$\rightarrow$ 95\%: My teachers promote respect among students.
$\rightarrow 90 \%$ : If I tell a teacher or other adult that someone is being bullied, the teacher/adult will do something to help.
$\rightarrow 92 \%$ : Adults working at this school treat all students respectfully, regardless of a student's race, culture, family income, religion, sex, or sexual orientation.

## School Climate $\not \subset \mathcal{S}$ Safety: Vocal Data ELEMENTARY



Engagement


Safety

 67

Environment


## Grade 5:

$\rightarrow$ 100\%: My teachers help me succeed with my schoolwork when I need help.
$\rightarrow$ 93\%: At our school, students learn to care about other students' feelings.
$\rightarrow \quad 97 \%$ : Teachers, students, and the principal work together to prevent (stop) bullying.
$\rightarrow$ 97\%: I feel safe at our school.
$\rightarrow \quad 100 \%$ : Teachers at this school accept me for who I am.

## i-Ready, K-8

Spring 2022-23

## Reading

Students Assessed/Total: 648/770
Current Placement Distribution

Progress to Annual Typical Growth (Median)


The median percent progress towards Typical Growth for this school is 150\%. Typical Growth is the average annual growth for a student at their grade and baseline placement level.


Students Assessed/Total: 484/770
Progress to Annual Typical Growth (Median)

## Math

Current Placement Distribution


| Mid or Above Grade Level | Early On Grade Level |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ Students | $\mathbf{1 7 1 ~ S t u d e n t s ~}$ |

## Winter

| Mid or Above Grade Level | Early On Grade Level | One Grade Level Below | Two Grade Levels Below | Three or More Grade Levels <br> Below |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 5 3}$ Students | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ Students | $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ Students | $\mathbf{3 1}$ Students | $\mathbf{3 0}$ Students |

## Spring

*8th grade did not reassess in the spring, skewing the data

- One Grade Level Below
90 Students
- Mid or Above Grade Level

368 Students

- Early On Grade Level

140 Students


$$
5
$$

- Two Grade Levels Below
24 Students


## i-Ready, K-8

## Math, 2022-23

$16 \% \quad 19 \%$ (WNDNDNDWDI,
(6) Mid or Above Grade Level

119 Students

## Early On Grade Leve

148 Students
One Grade Level Below
393 Students
Two Grade Levels Below
62 Students

- Three or More Grade Levels Below

38 Students

|  | 29\% | 28\% |  | 34\% | 5\% 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q Mid or Above Grade Level | - Early On Grade Level | One Grade Level Below | - Two Grade Levels Below | - Three or More Grade | els Below |
| 225 Students | 218 Students | 261 Students | 36 Students | 28 Students |  |

## Spring

*8th grade did not
reassess in the spring, 246 StudentsEarly On Grade Level
skewing the data

## Accountability

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Criterion-referenced target percentage | 64\% |
| Progress towards targets | Substantial progress toward targets |

Schools and districts not requiring assistance or intervention
Schools and districts requiring assistance or intervention


| School | Accountability Information | Criterion-referenced target <br> percentage | School accountability <br> percentile |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manchester Essex Regional High School | Not requiring assistance or intervention | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |
| Manchester Essex Regional Middle School | Not requiring assistance or intervention | $69 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |
| Essex Elementary | Not requiring assistance or intervention | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |
| Manchester Memorial Elementary | Not requiring assistance or intervention | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |

Progress toward improvement targets: For a group to be considered to be meeting targets it must have a criterion-referenced target percentage of $75 \%$ or higher.

2023 Progress toward improvement targets

## Accountability

| Indicator |  | All students (Non-high school grades) |  |  | Lowest performing students (Non-high school grades) |  |  | All students (High school grades) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Science achievement | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Achievement total | 9 | 12 | 67.5 | 4 | 8 | 67.5 | 6 | 12 | 47.5 |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics growth | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Growth total | 5 | 8 | 22.5 | 6 | 8 | 22.5 | 6 | 8 | 22.5 |
| High school completion | Four-year cohort graduation rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Extended engagement rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Annual dropout rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | High school completion total | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 12 | 20.0 |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English language proficiency total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Additional indicators | Chronic absenteeism | 3 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Advanced coursework completion | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 3 | 4 | 10.0 | 0 | 4 | 10.0 | 5 | 8 | 10.0 |
| Weighted total |  | 7.5 | 10.3 | - | 4.1 | 7.6 | - | 6.9 | 10.7 | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  | 73\% |  | - | 54\% |  | - | 65\% |  | - |

## Advanced Placement



## High School: Strengths

## AP Exams

- $5 \%$ increase in scores of 3 or above (see appendix)


## School Climate and Safety

- Students at MERHS feel safer and more positive about the school climate than across the state (see appendix)


## Accountability

- Meeting or exceeding targets: 78\% progress towards improvement targets


## High School: Areas for Growth

## Attendance

- Increase in absences and tardies over the year


## Math MCAS

- Meeting/exceeding expectations decreased by $4 \%$
- SGP dropped by 6\% (see appendix)
- Results by standards (see appendix)


## Action Steps:

* Attendance/tardy working group reviewing policies across districts
* Math staffing and schedule adjustments
* Formation of academic intervention programming
* Exploring innovative learning opportunities to push us forward - Learning Excursion to Casco Bay
* Examine opportunities for content area instructional support/reorganization of stipend funding


## Middle School: Strengths

## MCAS:

- Special Education \& High Needs Subgroups Exceeded Targets
- Science: Exceeded targets in all subgroups. Consistent growth trend
- Accountability


## Reading \& Math

## SEL/School Climate

- Vocal Data


## Middle School: Areas for Growth

## Chronic Absenteeism

- Lowest performing students scored a 0 out of 4 on attendance (MCAS data)


## Reading: Informational Texts

## Data: Types \& Tools

```
Action Steps:
Tracking attendance of lowest performing students \& meeting w/ families as needed.
Aligning reading comprehension strategies across Grade 6 classrooms.
Reading Across Disciplines initiative to strengthen reading comprehension in informational texts.
Track grade-specific data to identify a potential downward trend.
Use multiple measures of data to drive Tier 1 instructional approaches
Examine opportunities for content area instructional support/reorganization of stipend funding
```


## Essex: Strengths

## Overall SEL/Climate

## Reading

- Knowledge \& Ideas (Intermediate grades)
- Phonological awareness/phonics/high frequency words (primary grades)


## Conceptual mathematical understandings

- Measurement and data
- Numbers and operations


## Essex: Areas for Growth

## Written Responses

- Garnered only $1 / 3$ of all points available for constructed responses, essays and production and distribution of writing across all grade levels


## Vocabulary Development

## Attendance

## Growth/Meeting Set Targets

- Growth data from MCAS indicates less than 50\% growth for a grade level

Action Steps:

* Added specific goal to our School Improvement Plan
* Identifying expectations for mastery of writing standards across grade levels.
* Concentrating resources at point of need
* Increasing vocabulary exposure, "play", morphology across disciplines
* Request budgeting/financial support to improve Tier 1 instruction (Professional Learning: Instructional Coaching)


## Memorial: Strengths

DESE School Accountability Rating increased over the past 5 years

- 94th Percentile (Highest in past 5 years)

Increased number of students exceeding expectations in ELA/MATH MCAS across all grades

- \% of MMES Students Scoring "Exceeding Expectations" in MATH (Gr. 3-5) increased from '21-'22 to '22-'23 (+9\%)
- \% of MMES Students Scoring "Exceeding Expectations" in ELA (Gr. 3-5) increased from '21-'22 to '22-'23 (+2\%)

MCAS: Grade 4 MATH: 80\% of students Scored "Meeting" or "Exceeding" Expectations

- 41\% Scored "Exceeding" Expectations


## Spring 2023 i-Ready

- Reading: 86\% of students in K-5 at or above grade level
- Math: 78\% of students in K-5 at or above grade level


## Memorial: Areas for Growth

## MCAS: ELA Gr. 3-5 "Meeting/Exceeding" Expectations Decreased 11\% from

## '21-'22 to '22-'23

## MCAS Essay Writing - Gr. 3-5

- Ceiling is high for improvement in this area
- Idea Development: $41 \%$ possible points earned
- Conventions: $52 \%$ possible points earned
- State Differential is off trend: (AVG +10\% v State Average)


## Continual growth

- i-Ready Reading: (Fall '23): 57\% of students in K-5 at or above grade level
- i-Ready Math: (Fall '23): 34\% of students in K-5 at or above grade level


## Action Steps:

- Curriculum Alignment to identify curriculum inconsistencies and best practices (district wide)
- NEASC - Sharing best practices/alignment to recognized accreditation standards
- Increased Mypath Implementation
- Literacy/Math Tier 1 Data-Driven conversations
- Request budgeting/financial support to improve Tier 1 instruction (Professional Learning: Instructional Coaching)


## District: Strengths

School Climate \& Safety: Vocal Data
High Performing Areas \& Programs
District Data Systems
Advanced Placement Participation \& Results

## District: Areas for Growth

## Effectiveness of Tier 1 Curriculum \& Instruction: Consistency \& Coherence

## Opportunity Gap

- High Needs Student Group: disparity in MCAS performance
- Inconsistent performance across courses
- Supporting student needs: Intervention access (Consistency \& Data-Driven)


## Attendance

- Inconsistent attendance, high rates impact student access to academics


## District Improvement Plan Next Steps

## Strategic Initiative 1: Establish and foster an authentic Pre-K-12 learning environment.

- Build system of coherent standards-focused authentic learning experiences through vertical and horizontal alignment, curriculum articulation, and emphasis on meeting the needs of all learners
- Curriculum Mapping
- Department-Driven Work
- Curriculum Review Processes
- Program Reviews
- NEASC Elementary Review Process
- Differentiated Professional Learning Opportunities
- Seek resources/fiscal support to enhance improvement of Tier 1 practices: Instructional Supports (Instructional Coaches, professional development, etc.)
- District data protocols
- Ongoing assessment analysis and action planning to adjust instruction to support all learners in Tier 1 including replicating areas of success
- Intervention: Ongoing development of data-driven MTSS systems \& expansion to appropriate areas, grades


## District Improvement Plan Next Steps

## Strategic Initiative 2: Integrate social emotional learning into all aspects of the school day

- Analysis \& action planning for impact of attendance on select students/populations
- SEL Program Audit
- Implementation of SEL Leadership Structure
- Integration of SEL into MTSS processes
- Family engagement opportunities
- Professional Learning: RULER

Strategic Initiative 3: Celebrate and nurture an inclusive and diverse school culture that recognizes the contributions and uniqueness of all individuals.

- Analysis \& action planning for impact of attendance on select students/populations
- Program Reviews
- Integration Cultural Competency efforts across all aspects of educational programming
- Professional Learning: UDL


MERHS


## No. of Students Included in

 AchievementManchester Essex Regional ( 06980000 )
Manchester Essex Regional High School (06980510)

## Student Growth Percentile 2023 for High School



|  | Mean SGP | No. of Students <br> Included in SGP | \% Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> Expectations | No. of Students Included in <br> Achievement |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manchester Essex Regional (06980000) | 58 | 83 | 76 | 90 |
| Manchester Essex Regional High School (06980510) | 58 | 82 | 75 | 88 |

## 2022 Student Growth Percentile Math data for High School


(目) SCHOOL SUMMARY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total AP <br> Students | 157 | 120 | 161 | 161 | 141 |
| Number of <br> Exams | 353 | 284 | 393 | 387 | 355 |
| AP Students <br> with Scores <br> 3+ | 121 | 112 | 129 | 131 | 121 |
| \% of Total AP <br> Students with <br> Scores 3+ | 77.07 | 93.33 | 80.12 | 81.37 | 85.82 |

## High School AP data visual

## AP Courses: 2023

NOTE: AP Performance is not reported for

| Subject | Tests <br> Taken | \% Score 1-2 | \% Score 3-5 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-D Art and Design | 13 | 0 | 100 |
| 3-D Art and Design | 1 |  |  |
| Biology | 21 | 4.7 | 95.3 |
| Calculus AB | 16 | 25 | 75 |
| Calculus BC | 1 |  |  |
| Chemistry | 11 | 0 | 100 |
| Comparative Government and Politics | 22 | 13.6 | 86.4 |
| Computer Science A | 5 |  |  |
| English Language and Composition | 41 | 26.8 | 73.2 |
| English Literature and Composition | 20 | 0 | 100 |
| Environmental Science | 24 | 16.7 | 83.3 |
| French Language and Culture | 14 | 28.6 | 71.4 |
| Physics 1 | 17 | 17.6 | 82.4 |
| Physics C: Mechanics | 13 | 61.5 | 38.5 |
| Psychology | 33 | 3 | 97 |
| Spanish Language and Culture | 15 | 13.3 | 86.7 |
| Spanish Literature and Culture | 5 |  |  |
| Statistics | 25 | 4 | 96 |
| United States Government and Politics | 43 | 37.2 | 62.8 |
| United States History | 15 | 20 | 80 |

## MERHS MCAS Data Trends 2019-2023



## MERHS Student Growth Percentage Charts



## SGP 2023 for High School

## DART Comparative Schools for MERHS

-School(s) details
Manchester Essex Regional-Manchester Essex Regional High School - 2023 School Assistance Level - Meeting or exceeding targets


## Comparable Schools Data: High School/Achievement


*Schools most similar to your school in terms of grades span,total enrollment,and special populations.

## Comparable Schools Data: High School/Growth


*Schools most similar to your school in terms of grades span,total enrollment,and special populations.

## High School Accountability

| 2023 Progress toward improvement targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | All students <br> (High school grades) |  |  | High needs Student Group <br> (High school grades) |  |  |
|  |  | Points earned | Total possible points | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Science achievement | 3 | 4 | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
|  | Achievement total | 9 | 12 | 47.5 | 0 | 8 | 47.5 |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics growth | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Growth total | 6 | 8 | 22.5 | 5 | 8 | 22.5 |
| High school completion | Four-year cohort graduation rate | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Extended engagement rate | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Annual dropout rate | 3 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | High school completion total | 11 | 12 | 20.0 | 12 | 12 | 20.0 |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English language proficiency total | - | - | - | 12 | 12 | 20.0 |
| Additional indicators | Chronic absenteeism | 4 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Advanced coursework completion | 1 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 5 | 8 | 10.0 | 0 | 8 | 10.0 |
| Weighted total |  | 8.3 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 8.8 | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  | 78\% |  | - | 40\% |  | - |



## Vocal Data Highlight:

- Safety
- $90 \%$ confidence that teachers will take action
- $89 \%$ confidence students, teachers \& principal will work together
- $85 \%$ confidence teachers will stop inappropriate behaviors in the hallways


## MS Vocal Data Highlights



## Middle School i-Ready Reading: Tier 1 (should be an upward trend)



## Middle School i-Ready Math: Tier 1 (should be an upward trend)



## Middle School i-Ready Reading: Tier 3 (should be a downward trend)



## Middle School i-Ready Math: Tier 3 (should be a downoard trend)



## Grade 6 MCAS Trends



## Grade 7 MCAS Trends



## Grade 8 MCAS Trends

## DART Comparative Schools for MERMS



## Comparable Districts Data: Middle School/Achievement


*Schools most similar to your school in terms of grades span,total enrollment,and special populations.

## Comparable Districts Data: Middle School/Growth


*Schools most similar to your school in terms of grades span,total enrollment,and special populations.

## MERMS Accountability

| 2023 Progress toward improvement targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | All students(Non-high school grades) |  |  | Lowest performing students (Non-high school grades) |  |  |
|  |  | Points earned | Total possible points | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Science achievement | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Achievement total | 10 | 12 | 67.5 | 4 | 8 | 67.5 |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics growth | 2 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Growth total | 5 | 8 | 22.5 | 7 | 8 | 22.5 |
| High school completion | Four-year cohort graduation rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Extended engagement rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Annual dropout rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | High school completion total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English language proficiency total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Chronic absenteeism | 4 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
| Additional indicators | Advanced coursework completion | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 4 | 4 | 10.0 | 0 | 4 | 10.0 |
| Weighted total |  | 8.3 | 10.3 | - | 4.3 | 7.6 | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  |  | 81\% | - |  | 7\% | - |
| 2023 Criterion-referenced target percentage |  | 69\% |  |  |  |  |  |


| High needs Student Group (Non-high school grades) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| 4 | 4 | - |
| 3 | 4 | - |
| 4 | 4 | - |
| 11 | 12 | 67.5 |
| 3 | 4 | - |
| 2 | 4 | - |
| 5 | 8 | 22.5 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 4 | 4 | - |
| - | - | - |
| 4 | 4 | 10.0 |
| 9.0 | 10.3 | - |
|  | 87\% | - |
| 87\% |  |  |

##  <br> Essex Elementary

## Essex MCAS ~English Language Arts

|  | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Constructed <br> response | 1 out of 3 points | 1.5 out of 3 points | NA |
| Essay | 1.75 out of 7 points | 2.3 out of 7 points | 4.6 out of 14 points |
| Production and <br> Distribution of <br> Writing | 1 out of 4 points | 1.3 out of 4 points | 2.5 out of 8 points |

Data Analysis Summary

## Essex VOCAL data



## ELA Trend <br> Essex




MCAS Achievement Level

- Exceeding Expectations
- Meeting Expectations
- Partially Meeting Expectations
- Not Meeting Expectations


## Math Trend Essex



## Essex i-Ready Reading K-2

$\square 2$ Grade Levels Below $\quad 1$ Grade Level Below $\square$ Early On Grade Level $\square$ Mid or Above Grade Level



04 - Fall 2023

Essex i-Ready Reading 3-5

## 3 or More Grade Levels Below <br> 2 Grade Levels Below <br> 1 Grade Level Below <br> $\qquad$ Early On Grade Level Mid or Above Grade Level



## Essex i-Ready Math K-2



Essex i-Ready Math 3-5


## DART Comparative Schools for Essex Elementary

-School(s) details
Manchester Essex Regional-Essex Elementary - 2023 School Assistance Level - Limited or no progress toward targets


## Comparable Schools Data: Essex Elem/Achievement


*Schools most similar to your school in terms of grades span,total enrollment,and special populations.

## Comparable Schools Data: Essex Elem/Growth


*Schools most similar to your school in terms of grades span,total enrollment,and special populations.

## Essex Elementary Accountability

| 2023 Progress toward improvement targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | All students(Non-high school grades) |  |  | Lowest performing students (Non-high school grades) |  |  |
|  |  | Points earned | Total possible points | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Points earned | Total possible points | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Weight } \\ \% \end{array}$ |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 0 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics achievement | 0 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Science achievement | 0 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Achievement total | 0 | 12 | 67.5 | 2 | 8 | 67.5 |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics growth | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Growth total | 4 | 8 | 22.5 | 4 | 8 | 22.5 |
| High school completion | Four-year cohort graduation rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Extended engagement rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Annual dropout rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | High school completion total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English language proficiency total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Chronic absenteeism | 0 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
| Additional indicators | Advanced coursework completion | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 0 | 4 | 10.0 | 3 | 4 | 10.0 |
| Weighted total |  | 0.9 | 10.3 | - | 2.6 | 7.6 | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  |  | 9\% | - |  | 4\% | - |
| 2023 Criterion-referenced target percentage |  | 21\% |  |  |  |  |  |


| High needs Student Group (Non-high school grades) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| 0 | 4 | - |
| 0 | 4 | - |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | 8 | 67.5 |
| 2 | 4 | - |
| 1 | 4 | - |
| 3 | 8 | 22.5 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | 4 | - |
| - | - | - |
| 0 | 4 | 10.0 |
| 0.7 | 7.6 | - |
|  | 9\% | - |
| 9\% |  |  |

## - 1 Im <br> Enumin <br> Manchester Memorial Elementary

MMES Gr. 3-5 MCAS Exceeding Expectations


## MMES MCAS

i-ready Progress (\% students on/above grade level) '22-'23
$\square$ Fall $22 \square$ Spring 23
$100 \%$


## MMES K-5 i-Ready

MCAS: GR. 3-5 \% Meeting/Exceeding Expectations
$\square 2022 \square 2023$


## MMES MCAS DATA AREA FOR GROWTH



## MMES MCAS DATA AREA FOR GROWTH

## Manchester

i-Ready Reading K-2


## Manchester <br> i-Ready <br> Reading 3-5



## Manchester

 i-Ready Math K-2

## Manchester <br> i-Ready Math

3-5


## ELA Trend <br> Manchester










## Math Trend Manchester



## DART Comparative Schools for Manchester Memorial



## Comparable Schools Data: Memorial/Achievement


*Schools most similar to your school in terms of grades span,total enrollment, and special populations.

## Comparable Schools Data: Memorial/Growth


*Schools most similar to your school in terms of grades span,total enrollment,and special populations.

## Manchester Memorial Accountability

| 2023 Progress toward improvement targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | All students (Non-high school grades) |  |  | Lowest performing students (Non-high school grades) |  |  |
|  |  | Points earned | Total possible points | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight $\%$ |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics achievement | 4 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Science achievement | 4 | 4 | T1 | - | - | - |
|  | Achievement total | 11 | 12 | 67.5 | 4 | 8 | 67.5 |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics growth | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Growth total | 6 | 8 | 22.5 | 4 | 8 | 22.5 |
| High school completion | Four-year cohort graduation rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Extended engagement rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Annual dropout rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | High school completion total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English language proficiency total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Additional indicators | Chronic absenteeism | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Advanced coursework completion | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 4 | 4 | 10.0 | 4 | 4 | 10.0 |
| Weighted total |  | 9.2 | 10.3 | - | 4.0 | 7.6 | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  |  | 89\% | - |  | 53\% | - |
| 2023 Criterion-referenced target percentage |  | 71\% |  |  |  |  |  |


| High needs Student Group (Non-high school grades) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| 0 | 4 | - |
| 3 | 4 | - |
| - | - | - |
| 3 | 8 | 67.5 |
| 1 | 4 | - |
| 3 | 4 | - |
| 4 | 8 | 22.5 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |
| 3 | 4 | - |
| - | - | - |
| 3 | 4 | 10.0 |
| 3.2 | 7.6 | - |
|  | 42\% | - |
| 42\% |  |  |

## (3) <br> District

## 51 to <=70: Favorable Climate

## School Climate: Vocal Data



Engagement



1000

Safety


1000

Environment


## 47

52

1000

## MERSD vs. DART Comparable Districts*

|  | 2023 Enrollment |  |  |  | 2023 Next Gen MCAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% Meeting or Exceeding Expectations |  |  |  |  | Growth average SGP |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grades 3-8 |  | Grade 10 |  | Grades 5 and 8 | Grades 3-8 |  | Grade 10 |  |
| District Name | Total Enrollment \# | Low <br> Income \% | SWD \% | ELL \% | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | Science | ELA | Math | ELA | Math |
| Berlin-Boylston* | 1,072 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 2.5 | 64\% | 60\% | 87\% | 71\% | 68\% | 58 | 54 | 61 | 54 |
| Douglas* | 1,186 | 24.1 | 18.9 | 0.8 | 41\% | 36\% | 62\% | 48\% | 30\% | 50 | 57 | 48 | 43 |
| Dover-Sherborn* | 1,151 | 5.6 | 17.5 | 0.4 | 72\% | 70\% | 86\% | 88\% | 69\% | 56 | 46 | 53 | 56 |
| Georgetown* | 1,270 | 14.9 | 17.3 | 1.3 | 46\% | 46\% | 51\% | 44\% | 41\% | 44 | 51 | 43 | 58 |
| Hamilton-Wenham* | 1,653 | 8.3 | 19.8 | 1.2 | 64\% | 57\% | 83\% | 78\% | 64\% | 54 | 52 | 54 | 55 |
| King Philip* | 1,812 | 16.8 | 18.5 | 0.4 | 48\% | 50\% | 70\% | 60\% | 47\% | 45 | 38 | 48 | 56 |
| Manchester Essex Regional* | 1,221 | 14.1 | 18.5 | 0.4 | 60\% | 56\% | 77\% | 70\% | 60\% | 51 | 50 | 59 | 52 |
| Masconomet* | 1,549 | 8.3 | 20.1 | 0.2 | 61\% | 55\% | 78\% | 77\% | 64\% | 52 | 46 | 49 | 60 |
| North Reading* | 2,354 | 11.1 | 19.1 | 0.6 | 67\% | 69\% | 80\% | 77\% | 76\% | 55 | 55 | 43 | 52 |
| Old Rochester* | 1,051 | 20.9 | 15.3 | 0.1 | 57\% | 47\% | 66\% | 56\% | 52\% | 50 | 35 | 44 | 50 |
| Sutton* | 1,295 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 1.8 | 45\% | 46\% | 60\% | 53\% | 49\% | 52 | 55 | 47 | 60 |

*Districts identified by DESE as most similar to your district in terms of grades span,total enrollment,and special populations.

## MERSD vs. Cape Ann Districts

## \%Meeting or Exceeding Expectations

|  | MERSD | Beverly | Danvers | Gloucester | Georgetown | HW | Ipswich | Masco | Newburyport | Lynnfield | Pentucket | Triton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE 10 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS | 77 | 56 | 56 | 43 | 51 | 83 | 63 | 78 | 71 | 79 | 76 | 57 |
| GRADE 10 - MATHEMATICS | 70 | 50 | 39 | 37 | 44 | 78 | 65 | 77 | 71 | 76 | 59 | 56 |
| GRADE 10 - SCIENCE AND TECH/ENG | 68 | 54 | 51 | 30 | 46 | 76 | 66 | 71 | 72 | 66 | 56 | 43 |
| GRADES 03-08-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS | 60 | 46 | 46 | 38 | 46 | 64 | 58 | 61 | 56 | 62 | 50 | 47 |
| GRADES 03-08MATHEMATICS | 56 | 45 | 42 | 34 | 46 | 57 | 52 | 55 | 47 | 64 | 50 | 45 |
| GRADES 05 \& 08 - SCIENCE AND TECH/ENG | 60 | 44 | 40 | 36 | 41 | 64 | 53 | 64 | 50 | 56 | 46 | 55 |

## Grade 3 MCAS Achievement

GRADE 03 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS
Percentage of Students by Achievement Level



| GRADE 03 - MATHEMATICS |
| :--- |
| ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL 2019 2021 2022 2023 <br> Exceeding Expectations (E) 14 7 12 4 <br> Meeting Expectations (M) 48 38 45 38 <br> Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) 32 47 40 45 <br> Not Meeting Expectations (NM) 6 8 4 13 |

## Grade 4 MCAS Achievement

GRADE 04 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Percentage of Students by Achievement Level


GRADE 04 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL

2019202120222023

| Exceeding Expectations (E) | 23 | 14 | 10 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 56 | 63 | 47 | 54 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 18 | 21 | 36 | 39 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 2 | 2 | 7 | 3 |

GRADE 04 - MATHEMATICS
Percentage of Students by Achievement Level


\section*{GRADE 04 - MATHEMATICS <br> ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL <br> 2019202120222023 <br> | Exceeding Expectations (E) | 24 | 15 | 12 | 28 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 55 | 55 | 55 | 44 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 14 | 24 | 26 | 25 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 |}

2023 Participation Rate $=100 \%$

## Grade 5 MCAS Achievement

GRADE 05 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Percentage of Students by Achievement Level 100 -


## GRADE 05 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

 ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL2019202120222023
Exceeding Expectations (E)
Meeting Expectations (M)
Partially Meeting Expectations (PM)
Not Meeting Expectations (NM)
2023 Participation Rate $=100 \%$


## GRADE 05 - MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL

 2019202120222023| Exceeding Expectations (E) | 3 | 9 | 6 | 11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 57 | 51 | 64 | 37 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 35 | 31 | 26 | 45 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 6 | 8 | 4 | 8 |



| GRADE 05-SCIENCE AND TECH/ENG |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL | 2019 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 2023 |
| Exceeding Expectations (E) | 10 | 14 | 16 | 13 |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 58 | 58 | 57 | 37 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 27 | 23 | 24 | 41 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 4 | 5 | 3 | 10 |

2023 Participation Rate $=100 \%$

## Grade 6 MCAS Achievement



| GRADE 06 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |
| Exceeding Expectations (E) | 14 | 17 | 13 | 18 |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 48 | 48 | 52 | 54 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 31 | 23 | 27 | 23 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 7 | 12 | 9 | 5 |

2023 Participation Rate $=\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$


| GRADE 06 - MATHEMATICS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 2023 |
| Exceeding Expectations (E) | 14 | 5 | 3 | 7 |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 43 | 41 | 47 | 49 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 37 | 39 | 42 | 38 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 6 | 15 | 9 | 5 |

2023 Participation Rate $=100 \%$

## Grade 7 MCAS Achievement



| GRADE 07 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 2023 |
| Exceeding Expectations (E) | 9 | 5 | 9 | 12 |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 61 | 44 | 48 | 38 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 27 | 38 | 37 | 42 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 3 | 14 | 5 | 8 |

2023 Participation Rate $=98 \%$

GRADE 07 - MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL

2019202120222023Exceeding Expectations ( E ) Meeting Expectations (M) Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) Not Meeting Expectations (NM)

## Grade 8 MCAS Achievement

GRADE 08 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Percentage of Students by Achievement Level 100 -


| GRADE 08 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 2023 |
| Exceeding Expectations (E) | 10 | 8 | 11 | 17 |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 55 | 46 | 38 | 51 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 32 | 36 | 43 | 22 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 3 | 9 | 8 | 10 |

2023 Participation Rate $=98 \%$

GRADE 08 - MATHEMATICS
Percentage of Students by Achievement Level


## GRADE 08 - SCIENCE AND TECH/ENG

 Percentage of Students by Achievement Level
## 100 -




| GRADE 08 - SCIENCE AND TECH/ENG ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL | 2019202120222023 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exceeding Expectations (E) | 7 | 11 | 6 | 19 |
| Meeting Expectations (M) | 51 | 40 | 53 | 50 |
| Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) | 41 | 38 | 35 | 27 |
| Not Meeting Expectations (NM) | 2 | 12 | 6 | 4 |

2023 Participation Rate $=98 \%$

## Grade 10 MCAS Achievement

GRADE 10 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Percentage of Students by Achievement Level


GRADE 10 - MATHEMATICS


GRADE 10 - SCIENCE AND TECH/ENG
Percentage of Students by Achievement Level (on NextGen Scale)


| GRADE $\mathbf{1 0}$ - MATHEMATICS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL 2019 $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$  <br> Exceeding Expectations (E) 21 10 16 17  <br> Meeting Expectations (M) 61 61 59 53  <br>  Partially Meeting Expectations (PM) 17 26 24 26 <br> Not Meeting Expectations (NM) 1 3 1 4  |

## MCAS Cohort Trends

2023-24 5th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS

- ELA $>$ Math



|  | ELA | Math |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2022 (3rd) | $65 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| 2023 (4th) | $59 \%$ | $71 \%$ |

0\%
2022 (3rd)
2023 (4th)

## MCAS Cohort Trends

## 2023-24 6th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS

- ELA Math




## MCAS Cohort Trends


$0 \% \overline{2021(4 t h)} 2022(5$ th $) 2023$ (6th)

2023-24 7th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS/2020 (3rd)

No MCAS in 2020 (3rd grade year)

|  | ELA | Math |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2020 (3rd) | NA | NA |  |
| 2021 (4th) | $77 \%$ |  | $70 \%$ |
| 2022 (5th) | $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |
| 2023 (6th) | $72 \%$ | $57 \%$ |  |



## MCAS Cohort Trends

2023-24 8th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS

- ELA Math


|  | ELA | Math |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2019 (3rd) | $71 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 2020 (4th) | NA | NA |
| 2021 (5th) | $71 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 2022 (6th) | $65 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| 2023 (7th) | $50 \%$ | $54 \%$ |

## MCAS Cohort Trends

## 2023-24 9th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS



|  | ELA | Math |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 (3rd) | $73 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| 2019 (4th) | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 2021 (6th) | $65 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| 2022 (7th) | $58 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| 2023 (8th) | $69 \%$ | $65 \%$ |


| $2018(3 \mathrm{rd})$ | $2019(4 \mathrm{th})$ | $2021(6 \mathrm{th})$ | $2022(7 \mathrm{th})$ | $2023(8 \mathrm{th})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

2023-24 9th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS
No MCAS in 2020 (5th grade year)


## MCAS Cohort Trends

## 2023-24 10th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS




2023-24 10th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS

## MCAS Cohort Trends

## 2023-24 11th grade class: \% Meeting/Exceeding MCAS <br> - ELA $~ M a t h$




20\%


No MCAS in 2020 (7th grade year) \& no 9th gr MCAS

|  | ELA | Math |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2017 (3rd) | $52 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 2018 (4th) | $77 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| 2019 (5th) | $69 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| 2021 (7th) | $49 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| 2022 (8th) | $49 \%$ | $46 \%$ |

MCAS from 2016 (3rd grade) not included as achievement level/assessment changes impact comparables.


## MCAS ELA Student Growth Percentile Trends

|  | 4th | 5th | 6th | 7th | 8th | 10th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 64.8 | 47.5 | 31.9 | 51.6 | 45.7 | 36 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  | 44.6 | 37.4 | 24.8 | 31.8 | 58.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 56 | 52 | 55 | 49 | 54 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | 56 | 44 | 55 | 44 | 55 | 59 |

## ELA: Student Growth Percentiles



No MCAS in 2020 No SGP in 3rd grade

A student growth percentile measures a student's progress on MCAS compared to the progress of other students with similar MCAS performance histories. SGPs range from 1 to 99, where higher numbers represent higher relative growth and lower numbers represent lower growth.

## MCAS MATH Student Growth Percentile Trends



|  | 4th | 5th | 6th | 7th | 8th | 10th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 62.2 | 41.2 | 32.4 | 57.5 | 37.4 | 65.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  | 50.3 | 17.2 | 30.3 | 35.8 | 32.8 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 62 | 53 | 32 | 73 | 46 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | 68 | 38 | 35 | 58 | 54 | 52 |

No MCAS in 2020
No SGP in 3rd grade

A student growth percentile measures a student's progress on MCAS compared to the progress of other students with similar MCAS performance histories. SGPs range from 1 to 99, where higher numbers represent higher relative growth and lower numbers represent lower growth.

2023 Progress toward improvement targets

## District <br> Accountability

| Indicator |  | All students (Non-high school grades) |  |  | Lowest performing students (Non-high school grades) |  |  | All students (High school grades) |  |  | Lowest performing students (High school grades) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight $\%$ | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Mathematics achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Science achievement | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Achievement total | 9 | 12 | 67.5 | 4 | 8 | 67.5 | 6 | 12 | 47.5 | - | - | - |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Mathematics growth | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Growth total | 5 | 8 | 22.5 | 6 | 8 | 22.5 | 6 | 8 | 22.5 | - | - | - |
| High school completion | Four-year cohort graduation rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Extended engagement rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Annual dropout rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | High school completion total | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 12 | 20.0 | - | - | - |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English language proficiency total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Additional indicators | Chronic absenteeism | 3 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Advanced coursework completion | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 3 | 4 | 10.0 | 0 | 4 | 10.0 | 5 | 8 | 10.0 | - | - | - |
| Weighted total |  | 7.5 | 10.3 | - | 4.1 | 7.6 | - | 6.9 | 10.7 | - | - | - | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  |  | 3\% | - |  | 4\% | - |  | 5\% | - |  | - | - |
| Percentage of possible points by gradespan |  | $63 \%$Weight of non-high school results:63\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weight | of high sc | \% <br> hool result | s:37\% |  |
| 2023 Criterion-referenced target percentage |  | 64\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## District Accountability: High needs

| 2023 Progress toward improvement targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | High needs Student Group (Non-high school grades) |  |  | High needs Student Group <br> (High school grades) |  |  |
|  |  | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 1 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics achievement | 0 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Science achievement | 0 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Achievement total | 1 | 12 | 67.5 | 0 | 12 | 47.5 |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics growth | 2 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Growth total | 4 | 8 | 22.5 | 5 | 8 | 22.5 |
| High school completion | Four-year cohort graduation rate | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Extended engagement rate | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Annual dropout rate | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | High school completion total | - | - | - | 12 | 12 | 20.0 |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English language proficiency total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Additional indicators | Chronic absenteeism | 2 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Advanced coursework completion | - | - | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 2 | 4 | 10.0 | 0 | 8 | 10.0 |
| Weighted total |  | 1.8 | 10.3 | - | 3.5 | 10.7 | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  | 18\% |  | - | 33\% |  | - |
| Percentage of possible points by gradespan |  | Weight of non-high school results:63\% |  |  | Weight of high school results:37\% |  |  |
| 2023 Criterion-referenced target percentage |  | 23\% |  |  |  |  |  |

## Grades 3-8 Accountability

| 2023 Progress toward improvement targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator |  | All students(Non-high school grades) |  |  | Lowest performing students (Non-high school grades) |  |  |
|  |  | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% | Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics achievement | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - |
|  | Science achievement | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Achievement total | 9 | 12 | 67.5 | 4 | 8 | 67.5 |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathematics growth | 2 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Growth total | 5 | 8 | 22.5 | 6 | 8 | 22.5 |
| Additional indicators | Chronic absenteeism | 3 | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - |
|  | Advanced coursework completion | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 3 | 4 | 10.0 | 0 | 4 | 10.0 |
| Weighted total |  | 7.5 | 10.3 | - | 4.1 | 7.6 | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  | $73 \%$ |  | 63 | 54\% |  | - |
| Percentage of possible points by gradespan |  | 63\% |  |  |  |  |  |


| High needs Student Group <br> (Non-high school grades) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Points earned | Total possible points | Weight \% |
| 1 | 4 | - |
| 0 | 4 | - |
| 0 | 4 | - |
| 1 | 12 | 67.5 |
| 2 | 4 | - |
| 2 | 4 | - |
| 4 | 8 | 22.5 |
| 2 | 4 | - |
| - | - | - |
| 2 | 4 | 10.0 |
| 1.8 | 10.3 | - |
| 18\% |  | - |

## i-Ready Reading, gr.K-8

## Fall 2023

## Overall Placement

Students Assessed/Total: 744/762

|  | 31\% | 21\% |  | 34\% 7\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (8) Mid or Above Grade Level | Early On Grade Level | One Grade Level Below | Two Grade Levels Below | Q Three or | ade Levels Below |
| 229 Students | 157 Students | 255 Students | 52 Students | 51 Stud |  |

Placement by Domain

Phonological Awareness (PA)
Phonics (PH)
High-Frequency Words (HFW)
Vocabulary (VOC)
Comprehension: Overall (COMP)



## i-Ready Math, gr.K-8

## Fall 2023

## Overall Placement

Students Assessed/Total: 758/762

| 15\% | 21\% |  |  | 51\% | 8\% 5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2NDINDIDID. |  |  |  |  | SN |
| © Mid or Above Grade Level | - Early On Grade Level | - One Grade Level Below | - Two Grade Levels Below | Q Three or M | Levels Below |
| 117 Students | 157 Students | 384 Students | 60 Students | 40 Stude |  |

## Placement by Domain

Number and Operations (NO)


## MERSD vs. State

## Access to the Arts

The percentage of students who participate in an arts course. Arts courses include visual art, music, theater, dance, and general arts.


Our District


Massachusetts
Access to Digital Literacy and Computer Science Courses
The percentage of students who complete at least one digital literacy or computer science course.



Our District
Massachusetts

Advanced Coursework Completion
The percentage of 11th and 12th grade students completing at least one advanced course. Advanced courses include: Advanced Placement, Internationa Baccalaureate, Project Lead the Way, dual enrollment for credit, approved vocational/technical cooperative programs, and other rigorous courses.

$77.1 \%$
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## Supporting Information

## Views of Climate and Learning (VOCAL)

## What is VOCAL?

"Views of Climate and Learning" (VOCAL) is an annual student survey sponsored by the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE). Students are asked to share their views on three dimensions of school climate: engagement, safety, and environment. Students in grades $4,5,8$, and 10 were able to participate in the survey as part of the state MCAS administration in Spring 2023.

## Why participate in VOCAL?

Over the last three decades, research has demonstrated that positive school climate supports learning and positive youth development. The survey gives Massachusetts students a voice and an opportunity to provide feedback to schools and districts, which can use the data to strengthen students' social and emotional well-being, health, safety, and academic learning. READ MORE about why Safe \& Supportive Schools Matter

## What does the survey measure?

VOCAL is based on the conceptual framework of the U.S. Department of Education's School Climate Surveys, which focuses on measuring students' perception of three dimensions of school climate: engagement, safety, and environment. Each of these three dimensions is in turn composed of three topics. Engagement constitutes the topics of cultural and linguistic competence, relationships, and participation in class and school life. Safety is comprised of the topics of emotional safety, physical safety, and bullying/cyber-bullying. Environment incorporates the topics of instructional environment, discipline environment, and mental health environment.

## Views of Climate and Learning (VOCAL)

| Engagement (ENG) | Safety (SAF) | Environment (ENV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultural Competence <br> The extent students feel adults/students value diversity, manage dynamics of differences, and avoid stereotypes. <br> Participation <br> The extent students feel engaged intellectually, emotionally, and behaviorally in the classroom, and the extent that students or their parents are engaged in school life. <br> Relationships <br> The extent students feel there is a social connection and respect between staff/teachers and students, and between students and their peers. | Emotional Safety <br> The extent students feel a bond to the school, and the extent adults/students support the emotional needs of students. <br> Physical Safety <br> The extent that students feel physically safe within the school environment. <br> Bullying <br> The extent that students report different types of bullying behaviors occurring in the school and the extent that school/staff/students try to counteract bullying. | Instructional Environment <br> The extent that students feel the instructional environment is collaborative, relevant, challenging, and supportive of learning. <br> Mental Health Environment <br> The extent that students have access to support systems that effectively support their social, emotional, and mental health well-being. <br> Discipline Environment <br> The extent that discipline is fair, applied consistently and evenly, and a shared responsibility among staff, teachers, and students. |

## Attendance

## Attendance Research/Data from Attendance Works:

- Research shows that missing 10 percent of the school, or about 18 days in most school districts, negatively affects a student's academic performance. That's just two days a month and that's known as chronic absence. Read more
- By 6th grade, chronic absence becomes a leading indicator that a student will drop out of high school. Read more
- Poor attendance can influence whether children read proficiently by the end of third grade or be held back. Read more
- When students improve their attendance rates, they improve their academic prospects and chances for graduating. Read more

Chronic absenteeism is defined as the percentage of students missing 10 percent or more of their days in membership. In a typical 180-day school year, this is the percentage of students who miss 18 or more days. To calculate the chronic absenteeism rate for a school or student group, DESE determines whether each student is or is not chronically absent based on the student's total number of days in attendance and their total number of days in membership, as reported by the district in the Student Information Management System (SIMS). The rate is reported as the percentage of students in the school or group who are chronically absent. The chronic absenteeism calculation includes both excused and unexcused absences.

## Accountability

## Progress toward improvement targets

Progress toward improvement targets is reported as the degree to which targets are met, using a criterion-referenced measure of performance. The criterion-referenced target percentage combines multiple years of data related to achievement, growth, high school completion, English learner (EL) progress, advanced coursework completion, and chronic absenteeism into a single number between 0 and 100 . For a group to be meeting or exceeding targets, it must have a criterion-referenced target percentage of 75 percent or higher.

## Substantial progress toward targets

A district or school is identified as making substantial progress toward targets if it has a criterion-referenced target percentage from 50 to 74 percent and has not otherwise been identified as requiring assistance or intervention due to low overall performance, low student group performance, low graduation rates, or low assessment participation.

## Accountability percentile

An accountability percentile between 1 and 99 is reported for most schools. This number is an indication of the school's overall performance relative to other schools that administer similar assessments and is calculated using up to two years of data for all accountability indicators. Accountability percentiles are not calculated for districts, or for any school without sufficient achievement and growth data in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics.

## Student Growth: MCAS ELA and Mathematics

All districts, schools, and groups are expected to demonstrate progress in student achievement each year. The Department uses Student Growth Percentiles (SGPs) to measure how student-level achievement has grown or changed over time. Student growth percentiles are calculated separately by gradespan for ELA and mathematics and are not calculated for science. At the student level, the SGP represents how an individual student's achievement compares to that of other students with similar MCAS histories. At the school or student group level, DESE reports the mean SGP, which represents the average student growth percentile for that school or student group, using growth results for each student who was enrolled in the school as of October 1 of the same school year. For growth results to be reported, there must be SGP data for at least 20 students in each grade span.

## MCAS Test Shifts

| Year | Grades 3-8 | Grade 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 | PARCC | Legacy MCAS |
| 2017 | Full Test: First year of Next Generation MCAS | Legacy MCAS |
| 2018 | Full Test: Next Generation MCAS | Legacy MCAS |
| 2019 | Full Test: Next Generation MCAS | Full Test Administered: First year of Next Generation MCAS <br> Math \& ELA, Legacy STE MCAS |
| 2020 | No Test Administered | No Test Administered |
| 2021 | Half Test Administered |  <br> ELA, Legacy STE MCAS |
| 2022 | Full Test Administered | Full Test Administered: First year of HS Science Next <br> Generation Test |
| 2023 | Full Test Administered | Full Test Administered |

## i-Ready

i-Ready Diagnostic shows what students know, how much growth is needed to reach grade level expectations, and what students need to do next to get there. The Diagnostic:

- Gives every student an aspirational, attainable goal to reach grade-level standards
- Provides data that always informs teaching and learning
- Connects seamlessly to instructional resources that support the needs of all students


## Prioritize Student Needs Using Data

i-Ready Diagnostic helps ensure educators have the data they need to target instruction where it's needed most. Educators can:

- Efficiently understand current strengths and instructional priorities
- Set goals and continually track growth
- Make decisions when state assessment data isn't available

The i-Ready Diagnostic meets our MA DESE mandated early literacy screener requirements. Read More Here

## Advanced Placement

The Advanced Placement (AP) is a program in the United States and Canada created by the College Board offering college level curriculum and examinations to high school students.

The AP curriculum for the various subjects is created for the College Board by a panel of experts and college-level educators in each subject. For a high school course to have the AP designation, the course must be audited by the College Board to ascertain it satisfies the AP curriculum.

If the course is approved the school may use the AP designation and the course will be publicly listed on the AP Ledger.

